

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/863,425 | BHARADWAJ, SRINIVAS |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kenneth R. Coulter | 2445 |

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

(1) Kenneth R. Coulter. (3) _____.

(2) Naren Chaganti (Reg. No. 44,602). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2011
Time: 11:19 am
Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

38-42

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Naren Chaganti, in order to propose an amendment in order to place the present Application in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed language from paragraphs 182 and 187 of the specification (also see Fig. 7). Applicant's proposed claim amendments to independent claims 38 and 41 did not result in an agreement.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kenneth R Coulter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)